3.5450

28 October 1957

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, CI Staff

SUBJECT : Draft Revision of NBCID 5

- 1. The current draft revision of MSCID 5 raises fundamental questions as to the future workload it implies for the Rocords Integration Division, and indicates that the implementing provisions of the new BCIDs will have to take very strict account of such hard realities as the EI Table of Organization, the DB/P personnel ceiling, available space, and budgeting for salaries and overtime. I understand from FI that these DCIDs are to be drafted in your office.
- Depending on how the resultant workload is defined in DCIDs, the catch-all definition and description of Counter-Intelligence in paragraph 1b of the draft could double or quadruple the requirements of RI for personnel and space at the expense of the rest of the Clandestine Services. Or it might have very little effect—no telling, until semebody gives hard thought to the over-riding practical problems. If the new version does increase the workload significantly, will the Agency 1) ask for more people, space, and money as necessary, 2) cut other functions of the Clandestine Services, or 3) accept new functions without diminishing the old, and thereby go on allowing RI services to deteriorate, both in quality and quantity, with ever-increasing backlogs, congestion, and over-expenditure on overtime?
- rigorous definition. What is meant by "all pertinent material", and whose definition will prevail—ours or the contributing agency's? Pertinent to their operations (as, for example, all information on petty theft from a quartermaster dump is pertinent to CIC's function of protecting the security of local military installations), or pertinent to the national security according to a narrow and workable definition? How much and what kind of "benefit" can the intelligence community reasonably expect? Do you foresee one central set of indices and files, incorporating our own with others, so that only members of the Clandestine Services could have access, or two sets, ours and the general set, with different standards of sensitivity and access, so that the community at large could send its personnel to use it and reduce the burden on us?

name traces by other members of the intelligence community. This backles has now been rising for five years. In January 1957, it stood at 34,338. Two weeks ago it reached the all-time high of 49,493. (Most of them are of the kind we do under the McCarran Act, on temperary visitors who have left the country even before the name-trace request arrives in CIA, or on diplomats and their retinues who would not be excluded no natter how much old derogatory information turned up in our files. But that is another problem.) You will remember the memorandum from Col. Edwards early this year emphasizing what damage we are doing to our position in the community by delays in answering these requests.

5. All old hands in the Clandestine Services will undoubtedly applaud the effort, by means of the new NSCID 5, to make us truly a Central Intelligence Agency, but if we continue to take on more work than we are equipped to do well, as we have done in the past, we shall certainly do that effort more harm than good.

DD/P Records Policy Officer

25X1

ccy DD/C via DD/P

DD/P

C/FI

COPS

| SUBJECT: (Optional) | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|---|--|
| DD/P Records Policy Officer | | | DATE 28 October 1957 | |
| | | | | TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building) |
| RECEIVED | FORWARDED | INITIALS | to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment | |
| DD/P | NU 50 21 | | V | |
| 2. | | | | |
| 3. DD/C # 202 Admin Bldg | - 18/29 | | | |
| 4. | 1 | | | |
| 5. | | | | |
| 6. | | | | |
| 7. | | | | |
| 8. | | | | |
| 9. | | | | |
| 10. | | | | |
| 11. | | | | _ |
| 12. | | | | _ |
| 13. | | | | |
| 14. | 1 | | | |
| 15. | | | | |